

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,511	01/14/2008	Manfred Dick	3081.151WOUS	2936	
24113 PATTERSON	7590 10/04/201 THUENTE CHRISTEI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CEN	NTER	JOHNSON II	JOHNSON III, HENRY M		
80 SOUTH 87 MINNEAPOL	TH STREET JS, MN 55402-2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3769		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/04/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/565,511	DICK ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Henry M. Johnson, III	3769				

	Henry M. Johnson, III	3769				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 GPI 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the provision of 37 GPI 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the provision of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. UED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Me 2a	action is non-final. Inse to a restriction requiremer have been incorporated into the except for formal matters, p	is action. rosecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) 49-102 is/are pending in the application 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) 49-102 are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
10 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinary in the state of the correction is objected to by the Examinary in the state of the correction is objected to by the Examinary in the correction is objected to by the Examinary in the correction is objected to by the Examinary in the correction is objected to by the Examinary in the correction is objected to be corrected to be	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Application of the Applicati	ution No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/06) Paper No(s) Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

US	Patent	and	Trade	mark	Office
PT	OL-32	26 (Rev.	03-	11)

Application/Control Number: 10/565,511

Art Unit: 3769

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 65-102, drawn to method and apparatus for determining treatment parameters and treating, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- Claims 49-64, drawn to a system for treating an eye, classified in class 606, subclass 005.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The method steps and technical features of claims 65 and 90 are disclosed in EP-A-1 231 496. Therefore claim 49 cannot contain any identical or corresponding technical features. Consequently, claims 49 and 65 lack unity of invention (OPCT Rule 13).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does Application/Control Number: 10/565,511

Art Unit: 3769

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571)272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6 AM to 3 PM.

Art Unit: 3769

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam C. Yao can be reached on 571.272.1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Henry M. Johnson, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769

September 29, 2011